

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/832,649 | 04/11/2001 | Richard Holzner | 13027.14US01 | 1629 | |
| 23552 | 7590 04/12/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 | | VALENZA, JOSEPH E | | | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3651 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/832,649 | HOLZNER ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Joseph Valenza | 3651 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replective provided in the set of extended period for reply will, by statute reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ 3)□ | To be a second to the first that | | | | | | |
| ٽ/ٽ ا | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dienocit | ion of Claims | ar pante quayre, rece o.b. 11, 10 | 70 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4)(🗵 | Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| _ | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: | | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | | d III tilis Mational Stage | | | | |
| * S | see the attached detailed Office action for a list | | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | |
| 1) 🔲 Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | |
| Paper | No(s)/Mail Date <u>21</u> . | 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/832,649

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huber et al '003.

Note motor 20, planetary gearing 50, 55 and 60, lift 40, roller 30, first brake 90 and second brake 70. Note column 4 lines 25-30 of Huber et al state that when brake 90 brakes roller 30 the drive from motor 20 is diverted not slowed to the lift means 40. While Huber is silent as to the design (electrical, mechanical, etc.) of the first and second brake means, their designs have not been proven to be critical to the operation of the claimed system. Therefor, the design of brakes 90 and 70 are considered to be functionally equivalent to those claimed. With regard to claim 3, the need for a brake gear with the first brake is a matter of choice since the first brake in Huber et al is a functional equivalent design.

- 2. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. While it is argued by applicants that brake 90 is an uncontrolled or constantly operating brake, the examiner does not agree. If brake 90 is an uncontrolled or constantly operating brake, there would be no need for brake 70. Brake 70 applies a slight braking force to lock the elevated roller in its raised state as discussed in column 4 lines 15-18. If brake 90 constantly applies a slight braking force, the roller would be constantly raised. Since the roller raises and lowers, brake 90 is not constantly applied.

Art Unit: 3651

4. This is a Request for Continued Examination of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/832,649. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph Valenya